

MORRIS COUNTY RENEWABLE ENERGY PROGRAM,

SERIES 2011

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

For a Developer of Photovoltaic Systems with respect to certain Local Government Facilities in the County of Morris, New Jersey dated July 7, 2011, as amended on August 5, 2011 and August 11, 2011

(collectively, the “RFP”)

Summary of Questions and Answers No. 1

Issued on August 25, 2011

Below is a summary of the questions posed by Respondents and the Morris County Improvement Authority’s (the “Authority”) responses to said questions. This document shall be posted on the Morris County Improvement Authority’s website at <http://www.co.morris.nj.us/improvement>, and emailed to all Respondent Contact Persons. Capitalized terms not defined in this document shall be as defined in the Original RFP.

Question No. 1. Does actual square footage drawing for each site location exist? If so, may we be provided with the drawings?

Answer No. 1. This is not provided in the RFP.

Question No. 2. May we have copies of all assumptions utilized to designate production capacities at each site including any PV-USA testing?

Answer No. 2 Solar production levels are not provided in the RFP. However, the RFP does require that the solar developer provide expected KWH production levels by local unit facility and the analytical tool that must be used to calculate the subject expected solar production levels.

Question No. 3. Has any geotechnical work been done at any of the ground installed locations? If so, may we be provided with the reports?

Answer No. 3. No geotechnical work has been done for the ground installed locations; this is the Proposer’s responsibility. Additionally, if the Successful Respondent conducts its own due diligence and finds a serious geotechnical issue with a building in a Local Unit, the Project Documents have a provision that allows the Authority to provide a replacement facility in that Local Unit of equal size. If the Authority cannot provide a replacement facility in the Local Unit, the Authority will look within Morris County for a suitable replacement facility.

Question No. 4. It is understood that structural analysis was performed at each of the roof mounted locations. May we be provided with those analyses and documents associated with the actual inspections?

Answer No. 4. No structural analysis has been done for the roof installed locations; this is the Proposer's responsibility. Additionally, if the Successful Respondent conducts its own due diligence and finds a serious structural issue with a building in a Local Unit, the Project Documents have a provision that allows the Authority to provide a replacement facility in that Local Unit of equal size. If the Authority cannot provide a replacement facility in the Local Unit, the Authority will look within Morris County for a suitable replacement facility.

Question No. 5. Has a load analysis at each of the locations been done to quantify the interconnection of this generation capacity?

Answer No. 5. No load analysis has been done at each location; this is the Proposer's responsibility. The PV systems have been sized to a maximum of 90% annual of consumption for local units that can accommodate that amount of solar systems.

Question No. 6. Is there already an established POC for the utilities that can be contacted for interconnect questions?

Answer No. 6 No point of contact for the utilities has been determined for interconnection questions.

Question No. 7. Should/can shading mitigation be part of the proposal?

Answer No. 7. Birdsall Services Group's conceptual layouts were sized and designed by performing a shading analysis. The conceptual layout is to be used as a guide for Respondents. Respondents must provide their own proposed solar system design and drawing layout for their Proposal.

Question No. 8. Can Respondents have a minimum of a two-week extension to respond?

Answer No. 8. No, the response date remains September 8, 2011.