

Applicant: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Sponsor (if applicable): _____
 Project Type: _____
 Grant Number: _____

--

Objective Scoring Criteria: type of housing proposed					
Goal	Performance Standard	Project Type	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 1 Quickly and permanently end homelessness	Project Type	Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry	10		
		Permanent Housing - Permanent Supportive Housing	10		
		Permanent Housing - Rapid Re-Housing	10		
		Joint Transitional & Rapid Re-Housing	10		
Scoring Notes					
If project type is anything other than Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry, Permanent Housing - Permanent Supportive Housing, Permanent Housing - Rapid Re-Housing, or Joint Transitional & Rapid Re-Housing no points awarded in the Project Type Performance Standard section above. Data found in 'Project Type' section on page 1 of application.					

Objective Scoring Criteria: Population Served					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 2 Serves priority population	Priority Population Served - Target population for dedicated beds and services	80% or more dedicated to chronically homeless	10		
		80% or more dedicated to veterans	10		
		80% or more dedicated to families	10		
		80% or more dedicated to youth	10		
		80% or more dedicated to survivors of DV	10		
For the Dedication to Chronically Homeless Performance Standard, points may be awarded on the basis of the actual percentage of project beds dedicated to the Chronically Homeless. For instance 8 points for a project with less than 80% but more than 70% of beds dedicated. Data found in 'Priority Populations' section on page 1 of application. Also, these categories are not mutually exclusive--meaning that a project 100% dedicated to chronically homeless persons who are also veterans would receive 20 points.					

Objective Scoring Criteria: Housing First					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 3 Serves most vulnerable	Low-Barrier Admission Criteria	All 4 items under admission criteria don't impact access to services	5		
	Low-Barrier Termination Criteria	All 5 items under termination criteria don't impact access to services	5		
If any of the listed admission criteria ever cause the project to deny admission no points are awarded in the Low-Barrier Admission Criteria section above. If any of the listed termination criteria ever cause the project to terminate participants no points are awarded in the Low-Barrier Termination Criteria section above. Data found in Question 1 on page 2 of application.					

Objective Scoring Criteria: Cost Effectiveness					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 4 Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program documents meeting 25% match requirement of HUD request	Match amount ÷ Total Request amount	5		
Data found in Question 2 on page 3 of application and Summary Budget on page 2 of the application where match commitment must be 25% of total CoC Dollars requested (box with asterisk in budget). This is also a threshold requirement--if 25% match is not met, project is ineligible to apply to HUD for funding.					

Improving System Performance					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 5 Reduce length of homelessness and/or promotes stability in permanent housing (PH)	<20% of exiting households return to homeless locations	# that return to homeless locations ÷ # exiting	10		
	80% of households in PH remain housed or exit to PH	# of leavers to PH and of stayers ÷ total # served	10		
GOAL 5 Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency	20% of households in Permanent Supportive Housing or 40% of households in Rapid Re-Housing, Joint Transitional Rapid Re-Housing, or Transitional Housing have earned income. *Projects serving 80% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure	# with earned income ÷ total # served	10		
	42% of households get non-cash benefits	# with non-cash benefits ÷ total # served	10		
	54% maintain or increase income	# who maintain/increase income ÷ total # served	10		
GOAL 5 Target service level met	Program utilization rates at 86% or higher	# of persons served ÷ proposed number of persons from most recent application & HMIS/other bed utilization rate	10		
Data found in project Annual Performance Report or in the Homeless Management Information System and will be provided for each project by Monarch. Partial points may be awarded if done consistently based on percentage achieved. For instance, 8 points for any projects with between 21% and 25% of exiting households returning to homeless locations. For 'Target service level met,' first-time renewal projects must be exempt.					

Improving Program Performance					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 6 Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program draws down HUD funds at least	4 drawdowns in 12 month period from eLOCCS	5		
	Less than 10% of program funds returned on annual basis	Funds returned divided by funds awarded from HUD closeout certification	5		
	APR submitted in a timely fashion (within 3 months of operating year end date)	APR submission date in relation to program operating year end date	5		
	Program uses funds for eligible population – homeless & disabled by HUD definition	# of participants whose residence prior to program entry qualifies as homeless divided by total number of participants	5		
		# of households with disabling condition divided by total number of households	5		
Program serves appropriate target population	subpopulation mix of clients served as compared to mix identified in application	5			
Program meets HUD regulations	Program record keeping meets HUD requirements: Client files contain homeless eligibility, annual income, disability documentation, adequate and appropriate services provided, unit inspection, rent reasonableness, rent calculation. Program records retained for at least 5 years after client discharge or 15 years after expenditure of funds for construction/acquisition. Housing units inspected prior to lease up.	Client File Review, Program Policies & Procedures, desk monitoring or onsite monitoring	20		
Client Satisfaction	Client Satisfaction and feedback informs project operation	Project has implemented standard practice for gathering and incorporating Client Feedback	5		
HMIS Data Quality	HMIS data quality measure – less than 5% of Universal Data Elements are missing	HMIS Review	10		
Data found in project Annual Performance Report or in the Homeless Management Information System and will be provided for each project by Monarch. Partial points may be awarded if done consistently based on percentage achieved. For instance, 4 points for any projects returning between 10% and 15% of funds.					

Improving Program Performance: Coordinated Entry					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 6 Provide Coordinated Entry	Meets federal standard for Low-Barrier Access and Standardized Assessment	Separate criteria from Coordinated Entry Application	40		
Data used for this section derived from the Coordinated Entry Projects Application, Questions 6 though 14. Responses to all questions will have a maximum value of 5 points.					

Improving Program Performance: Survivors of Domestic Violence Dedicated Projects					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 6 Improve safety, security, stability, self-sufficiency for survivor households	Provides adequate, appropriate services for Survivor Households	Survivor project participant survey	10		
Data used for this section derived from the DV Survivor Questionnaire to be administered in conjunction with monitoring of projects operated by victim services providers. Responses to all questions by clients will have a maximum value of 10 points.					

Improving Program Performance: New Project Capacity					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
GOAL 6 Project service capacity	Provides adequate, appropriate services	New Project Application Question 6	10		
Agency capacity	Agency experienced enough to implement	New Project Application Questions 8 & 9	10		
Agency fiscal capacity	Agency has enough fiscal capacity/oversight	New Project Application Question 10	10		
This measure only applicable to new projects. Allocations Committee members may award partial credit if gaps are identified in services, experience, or fiscal capacity.					

Summary Performance			
	Maximum Points	Points Earned	% of Points Earned
Goal 1	10		
Goal 2	40		
Goal 3	10		
Goal 4	5		
Goal 5	60		
Goal 6	65		
Goal 6B	40		
Goal 6C	10		
Goal 6D	30		
Total Possible Score for Housing	200		
Total Possible Score for Non-Housing	120		
Total Possible Score for New Projects	60		

*Projects serving 80% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure