

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sponsor (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Grant Number: \_\_\_\_\_

--

Objective Scoring Criteria: Population Served					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Serves priority population	Priority Population Served - Target population for dedicated beds and services	80% or more dedicated to chronically homeless	10		
		80% or more dedicated to veterans	10		
		80% or more dedicated to families	10		
		80% or more dedicated to youth	10		
		80% or more dedicated to survivors of DV	10		
For the Dedication to Chronically Homeless Performance Standard, points may be awarded on the basis of the actual percentage of project beds dedicated to the Chronically Homeless. For instance 8 points for a project with less than 80% but more than 70% of beds dedicated. Data found in 'Priority Populations' section on page 1 of application. Also, these categories are not mutually exclusive--meaning that a project 100% dedicated to chronically homeless persons who are also veterans would receive 20 points.					

Section 1 - Agency Background					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Agency Capacity	Staff receive an adequate level of training	Question A	3		
	Agency staff participate in trainings and involves processes to better support priority populations	Question C	3		
HMIS Participation	Commitment to using HMIS (when applicable)	Question B	3		
Racial Equity	Commitment to racial equity, racial/ethnic make up of direct service staff and executive leadership and administration reflect populations served	Question D	5		
Consumer Involvement	Agency shifts programs based on recommendations from persons with lived expertise	Question E	3		
	Agency actively involves expertise of consumers in program implementation	Question F	3		
Allocations Committee members may award partial credit if gaps are identified in services, experience, or fiscal capacity. Points will be given based on the average number of points awarded by Allocations Committee members.					

Section 2 - Project Description					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Gaps filled/ applicability to funding priority	Project fills an important gap in the CoC	Question A	10		
Agency's Mission	Agency is committed to ending homelessness, works collaboratively to ensure clients get access to needed services that they may not offer	Question B	10		
Project Goals	Project identifies measurable outcomes, describes an appropriate satisfaction/feedback form that then informs future agency decisions	Question C	10		
Activities/Services of the project	Project identifies robust, adequate services that will stabilize clients and provide needed supports	Question D	10		
Fiscal Capacity	Agency will responsibly administer the program	Question E	10		
Allocations Committee members may award partial credit if gaps are identified in services, experience, or fiscal capacity. Points will be given based on the average number of points awarded by Allocations Committee members.					

Section 3 - Project Implementation					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Agency participates in CoC/CAS meetings, subcommittees, etc	# of CAS meeting/Subcommittee meetings attended, adequate involvement in the system (not just CoC)	Question A	15		
Agency actively uses the CE process	# of CE meetings attended, # of referrals taken, identifies process for CE use	Question B	15		
Agency works collaboratively in community	Agency uses MOUs/partner agencies to provide services to consumers that they may not offer	Question C	10		
Agency has adequate experience working with the population	Agency has adequate experience	Question D	10		
Allocations Committee members may award partial credit if gaps are identified in services, experience, or fiscal capacity. Points will be given based on the average number of points awarded by Allocations Committee members.					

Section 4 - Housing First					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Serves most vulnerable, minimizes barriers	Agency describes an adequate, thought-out method, explaining how Housing First is operationalized	Questions A + B	25		
	Low-Barrier Admission Criteria	All 5 items under admission criteria don't impact access to services	25		

Low-Barrier Termination Criteria	All 5 items under termination criteria don't impact access to services	25		
----------------------------------	--	----	--	--

If any of the listed admission criteria ever cause the project to deny admission no points are awarded in the Low-Barrier Admission Criteria section above. If any of the listed termination criteria ever cause the project to terminate participants no points are awarded in the Low-Barrier Termination Criteria section above.

Section 5 - Cost Effectiveness					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program documents meeting 25% match requirement of HUD request	Match amount ÷ Total Request amount	5		

Data found in Question A of Section 5, where match commitment must be 25% of total CoC Dollars requested (box with asterisk in budget). This is also a threshold requirement--if 25% match is not met, project is ineligible to apply to HUD for funding.

Improving System Performance					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Reduce length of homelessness and/or promotes stability in permanent housing (PH)	<20% of exiting households return to homeless locations	# that return to homeless locations ÷ # exiting	10		
	80% of households in PH remain housed or exit to PH	# of leavers to PH and of stayers ÷ total # served	10		
Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency	20% of households in Permanent Supportive Housing or 40% of households in Rapid Re-Housing, Joint Transitional Rapid Re-Housing, or Transitional Housing have earned income. *Projects serving 80% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure	# with earned income ÷ total # served	10		
	42% of households get non-cash benefits	# with non-cash benefits ÷ total # served	10		
	54% maintain or increase income	# who maintain/increase income ÷ total # served	10		
Target service level met	Program utilization rates at 86% or higher	# of persons served ÷ proposed number of persons from most recent application & HMIS/other bed utilization rate	10		

Data found in project Annual Performance Report or in the Homeless Management Information System and will be provided for each project by Monarch. Partial points may be awarded if done consistently based on percentage achieved. For instance, 8 points for any projects with between 21% and 25% of exiting households returning to homeless locations. For 'Target service level met,' first-time renewal projects must be exempt.

Improving Program Performance					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program draws down HUD funds at least quarterly	4 drawdowns in 12 month period from eLOCCS	5		
	Less than 10% of program funds returned on annual basis	Funds returned divided by funds awarded from HUD closeout certification	5		
	APR submitted in a timely fashion (within 3 months of operating year end date)	APR submission date in relation to program operating year end date	5		
	Program uses funds for eligible population – homeless & disabled by HUD definition	# of participants whose residence prior to program entry qualifies as homeless divided total number of participants	5		
	Program serves appropriate target population	# of households with disabling condition divided by total number of households	5		
Program meets HUD regulations	Program record keeping meets HUD requirements: Client files contain homeless eligibility, annual income, disability documentation, adequate and appropriate services provided, unit inspection, rent reasonableness, rent calculation. Program records retained for at least 5 years after client discharge or 15 years after expenditure of funds for construction/acquisition. Housing units inspected prior to lease up.	subpopulation mix of clients served as compared to mix identified in application	5		
	Client Satisfaction	Client File Review, Program Policies & Procedures, desk monitoring or onsite monitoring	20		
Client Satisfaction	Client Satisfaction and feedback informs project operation	Project has implemented standard practice for gathering and incorporating Client Feedback	5		
HMIS Data Quality	HMIS data quality measure – less than 5% of Universal Data Elements are missing	HMIS Review	10		

Data found in project Annual Performance Report or in the Homeless Management Information System and will be provided for each project by Monarch. Partial points may be awarded if done consistently based on percentage achieved. For instance, 4 points for any projects returning between 10% and 15% of funds.

Summary Performance			
	Maximum Points	Points Earned	% of Points Earned
Population Served	40		0%
Section 1	20		0%
Section 2	50		0%
Section 3	50		0%
Section 4	75		0%
Section 5	5		0%
Improving System Performance	60		0%
Improving Program Performance	65		0%
<b>Total Possible Score for Renewal Projects</b>	<b>365</b>		<b>0%</b>

\*Projects serving 80% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure