

Median Value for Owner-Occupied Housing Units - Municipalities, 2010 - 2014 and 2015 - 2019 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Median Value		
	2010 - 2014	2015 - 2019	% Change
Boonton	\$386,100	\$386,100	0.0%
Boonton Twp.	\$503,300	\$645,600	28.3%
Butler	\$345,900	\$355,200	2.7%
Chatham Boro.	\$719,200	\$746,300	3.8%
Chatham Twp.	\$782,800	\$901,000	15.1%
Chester Boro.	\$492,400	\$474,200	-3.7%
Chester Twp.	\$671,800	\$644,600	-4.0%
Denville	\$380,800	\$419,500	10.2%
Dover	\$265,300	\$268,000	1.0%
East Hanover	\$494,900	\$608,700	23.0%
Florham Park	\$612,400	\$645,800	5.5%
Hanover	\$477,700	\$506,300	6.0%
Harding	\$1,000,000+	\$1,129,000	-
Jefferson	\$335,100	\$342,500	2.2%
Kinnelon	\$549,100	\$570,800	4.0%
Lincoln Park	\$339,000	\$330,900	-2.4%
Long Hill	\$457,200	\$585,000	28.0%
Madison	\$645,700	\$724,700	12.2%
Mendham Boro.	\$606,300	\$662,300	9.2%
Mendham Twp.	\$884,600	\$847,400	-4.2%
Mine Hill	\$294,400	\$300,800	2.2%
Montville	\$564,100	\$598,400	6.1%
Morris Twp.	\$555,100	\$593,500	6.9%
Morris Plains	\$470,200	\$517,500	10.1%
Morristown	\$398,900	\$472,000	18.3%
Mountain Lakes	\$814,700	\$867,200	6.4%
Mount Arlington	\$292,100	\$305,100	4.5%
Mount Olive	\$356,000	\$367,900	3.3%
Netcong	\$276,400	\$273,000	-1.2%
Parsippany	\$409,200	\$438,200	7.1%
Pequannock	\$376,100	\$425,100	13.0%
Randolph	\$511,200	\$539,900	5.6%
Riverdale	\$328,700	\$347,400	5.7%
Rockaway Boro.	\$344,300	\$344,800	0.1%
Rockaway Twp.	\$347,400	\$359,000	3.3%
Roxbury	\$343,300	\$354,900	3.4%
Victory Gardens	\$197,800	\$171,100	-13.5%
Washington	\$435,100	\$462,300	6.3%
Wharton	\$277,300	\$299,600	8.0%
Morris County	\$426,700	\$456,200	6.9%
New Jersey	\$319,900	\$335,600	4.9%

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 - 2014 and 2015 - 2019 American Community Survey

For the 2010 - 2014 ACS, values greater than \$1 million were reported as \$1,000,000+

Dash "-" means unable to calculate.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For ACS methodology, see: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html>

For Accuracy of Data, see: <http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html>

Table Revised: January 2021