November 26, 2018

Ms. Debra Dellagiacoma
MORRIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF ENGINEERING \& TRANSPORTATION
PO Box 900
10 Court Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor
Administration \& Records Building
Morristown, New Jersey 07963

Re: Intersection Safety Study
Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road
Township of Mendham, Morris County, NJ
FPA No. 6430.017

Dear Ms. Dellagiacoma:

FPA has performed evaluations of the existing conditions to evaluate the operations, safety, approach lane layouts, signage, and striping at the intersection of Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road in Mendham Township:

As part of this effort we reviewed available crash data, performed traffic counts and analyses, performed field investigations, and conducted traffic signal warrant analyses for the intersections. This data was compiled and utilized as a basis to develop both short, and longterm recommendations to improve driver safety, as well as traffic operations, at each intersection. The following is a summary of our findings and recommendations.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing intersection of Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road is a four-legged, stopcontrolled intersection. The intersection is located at MP 3.48 on Mendham Road, or approximately one (1) mile west of the signalized intersection with Mountain Avenue and Hilltop Road (CR 525). A copy of the NJDOT Straight line diagram for the area has been provided in Appendix A. It is also noted that an existing bridge is located approximately 175 ft south of the intersection on Mendham Road.

## Roadway Geometry

Under existing conditions, Mendham Road is a 2lane undivided urban minor arterial with one (1) travel lane and no striped shoulders at the intersection. It is noted that the pavement is wide enough to accommodate full width shoulders, which are striped both east and west of the intersection. Each approach lane permits left, through, and right movements. The posted speed limit in the vicinity of the intersection is 40 mph . An overhead sign structure with flashing beacons and curve warning sign (W1-1), accompanied by a 20 mph plaque (W13-1P), is located just east providing advanced warning for a horizontal
 curve located approximately 650ft east of the intersection. In the vicinity of this intersection, Mendham Road carries a significant downgrade from east to west.

Roxiticus Road is also a 2-lane undivided roadway, with one (1) travel lane and no shoulders at each intersection approach. The post speed limit along Roxiticus Road is 35 mph . Each approach lane permits left, through, and right movements.

Intersection Operations


The existing intersection is stop-controlled, with free movements provided for Mendham Road, and stop signs provided for both north and south Roxiticus Road approaches. All movements are permitted from all single lane approaches to the intersection.

No sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, or pedestrian accommodations are provided at the intersection.

Based on field observations, sight distance is restricted on both Roxiticus Road approaches for vehicles approaching the intersection. Historic properties occupy the northeast (Ralston General Store) and northwest (Grist Mill) corners of the intersection, and single-family residential properties are located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection. A wooden privacy fence located along the Grist Mill property frontage and the Ralston General Store building and associated landscaping restrict driver sight distance for vehicles approaching from the north on Roxiticus Road. Existing trees and brush inhibit driver sight distance for vehicles approaching from the south.

It was observed in the field that vehicles appeared to frequently exceed the posted speed limit. This was confirmed by speed data provided by the County which showed an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 48mph on Mendham Road observed between $8 / 25 / 17$ and $9 / 29 / 17$. Additionally, it was observed that vehicles accessing a driveway for \#9 Roxiticus Road (southwest corner of the intersection) slowed traffic considerably when attempting to make an eastbound right into the driveway. This driveway also appears to have limited sight distance because of vegetation.


## Traffic Counts

Manual turning movement counts were performed at the intersection of Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road on Tuesday October 9, 2018 from 7:00 to 10:00am and 3:00 to 6:00pm. The following table is a summary of the peak hour volumes observed for each time period:

Existing Peak Hour Volumes

| Roadway | Movement | AM Peak <br> (7:45AM-8:45AM) | PM Peak <br> (5:00PM-6:00PM) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mendham Road <br> (CR 510) | EB Left | 40 | 9 |
|  | EB Thru | 768 | 347 |
|  | EB Right | 110 | 21 |
|  | WB Left | 120 | 103 |
|  | WB Thru | 240 | 976 |
|  | WB Right | 3 | 2 |
|  | NB Left | 19 | 35 |
|  | NB Thru | 21 | 66 |
|  | NB Right | 53 | 112 |
|  | SB Left | 0 | 2 |
|  | SB Thru | 58 | 15 |
|  | SB Right | 11 | 22 |
|  | Overall Intersection | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 3}$ | 1,710 |

Complete manual traffic count data for the intersection is provided in Appendix B.

A preliminary Level of Service (LOS) analysis was performed for the intersection based on the existing traffic volumes and summarized in the table below. Overall the major approaches operate with good levels of service, and the minor stop-controlled approaches operate with poor levels of service. The existing roadway network has adequate capacity to handle the peak hour volumes.

Existing Level of Service

| Roadway | Movement | AM Peak <br> (7:45AM-8:45AM) | PM Peak <br> (5:00PM-6:00PM) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB (Left/Thru/Right) | $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{(0.9)}$ | $\mathrm{~A}(0.4)$ |
|  | WB (Left/Thru/Right) | $\mathrm{A}(5.2)$ | $\mathrm{A}(2.8)$ |
| Roxiticus Road | NB (Left/Thru/Right) | $\mathrm{F}(371.3)$ | $\mathrm{F}(576.4)$ |
|  | SB (Left/Thru/Right) | $\mathrm{F}(106.9)$ | $\mathrm{F}(81.6)$ |
| Overall Intersection |  | $\mathrm{E}(39.0)$ | $\mathrm{F}(87.7)$ |

The existing Level of Service analyses for the intersection are provided in Appendix C.

## Crash Data

Accident reports for the intersection were provided by the County for the period from February 2, 2014 to September 6, 2018. A total of twenty-three (23) vehicle crashes were reported over that time period. There were fifteen (15) reported injuries and one (1) reported fatality resulting from those crashes. A chart categorizing the types of crashes is shown below. Right angle collisions had the highest incidence (58\% of all crashes), with many of those involving vehicles turning from the Roxiticus Road approaches being hit by cars traveling on Mendham Road.


## Signal Warrant Analysis

A traffic signal warrant analysis was completed using the traffic volumes and accident reports provided to determine if the intersection meets any of the criteria defined in the MUTCD which would warrant installation of a new traffic signal. A total of eight (8) warrant conditions were evaluated which look at traffic volumes, ratios of traffic volumes of the side street to the main street, peak hour traffic, pedestrian volume/school crossing, and crash history. Based on the
collected data, the intersection of Mendham Road \& Roxiticus Road meets the following warrants:

- Warrant 2-4-hour Vehicular Volumes
- Warrant 3 - Peak Hour Volume
- Warrant 8 - Roadway Network Operations

The absence of an eight-hour traffic volume count precluded the intersection from meeting Warrants 1 ( 8 -hour vehicular volumes) \& 7 (Crash Experience). However, we do note that all 6 hours during which traffic counts were obtained met the criteria for Warrants $1 \& 7$, therefore it is likely that the intersection would also meet these warrants if a longer count was performed.

In addition to meeting multiple traffic signal warrants, the intersection also met two conditions for installation of an All Way Stop, however installation of stop control on Mendham Road is not desirable, or appropriate, for this location.

The full warrant analyses are provided in Appendix D.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

As described in the project's scope of work for the project, it is the County's desire to improve the overall safety and operation of the intersection. To achieve these goals for the project, FPA has developed recommendations for both short, and long-term improvements.

## Short-term Improvements

1. Sight distance for the Roxiticus Road approaches should be improved. Improved sight distance would provide better visibility for vehicles on Roxiticus Road in determining when it is safe to progress through the intersection, as well as helping through traffic on Mendham Road see vehicles coming out of Roxiticus Road, which should help address the high occurrence of right-angle crashes. To achieve this, we recommend the following:

- Selective vegetation trimming and removal on the southeasterly and southwesterly corners of the intersection to improve sight lines.
- While the historic nature of the Ralston General Store on the northeasterly corner of the intersection prohibits alteration of the structure itself, the vegetation at the front of the store should be trimmed.
- The wooden privacy fence on the northwesterly corner of the intersection also inhibits sight distance, and relocation, or replacement with a lower or more open style fence (such as post and rail) should be considered. Typically, municipal ordinances do not permit installation of a 6 ft high privacy fences within front yard areas, particularly at intersections where they could restrict sight distance, however it is unknown if zoning approval was granted for the existing fence.
- Based on the existing right-of-way widths as shown on the Mendham Township tax maps, it is likely that the clearing limits will extend outside of the existing right of way limits on Roxiticus Road ( $45^{\prime}$ ROW) as well as on Mendham Road ( $66^{\prime}$ ROW). Any work
outside of the right-of-way will require coordination with the adjacent property owners.

2. Striping on each approach is deteriorated, and intersection warning signs (W2-1) along Mendham Road appear to lack retroreflectivity meeting current MUTCD standards. Restriping the intersection, and replacing the signs is recommended to improve visibility at night.
3. Verify that the existing street light on the southeast corner provides adequate lighting for the intersection at night.
4. Since the observed speeds on Mendham Road are well above the posted speed limit, additional measures should be implemented to reduce speeds. We recommend installation of signs displaying actual travel speeds for traffic on Mendham Road to advise motorists that they are exceeding the speed limit. Police enforcement efforts could also be helpful in reducing speeds.

A sketch showing the proposed short-term improvements has been provided in Appendix E. We estimate that these short-term improvements would cost on the order of approximately $\$ 10,000$ or less to complete.

## Long-term Improvements

If traffic volumes continue to grow and short-term improvements do not reduce the crash incidence, it is recommended that a traffic signal be installed at the intersection of Mendham Road and Roxiticus Road, particularly since the intersection does already meet multiple warrants for signal installation. In addition to improving safety at the intersection, the signal would also improve operations on the Roxiticus Road approaches. We estimate that installation of a traffic signal at the intersection along with associated minor improvements (ADA compliance, striping, etc.) would cost on the order of approximately $\$ 150-200 \mathrm{k}$ to complete.

Upon your review of the enclosed documents, please advise our office if you concur with our recommendation. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

## FRENCH \& PARRELLO ASSOCIATES



Discipline Manager, Highway Engineering

## APPENDIX A NJDOT STRAIGHT LINE DIAGRAMS

ROUTE 510 (West to East)
Mile Posts: 2.000-5.000


## $\frac{\text { APPENDIX B }}{\text { TRAFFIC COUNT DATA }}$

## National Data \& Surveying Services

location: Rextius ed Merntam Rd Intersection Turning Movement Count City: Mendham Control: 2-Way Stop(NB/SB)

Total

| NS/EW Streets: | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roxiticus Rd |  |  |  | Roxiticus Rd |  |  |  | Mendham Rd |  |  |  | Mendham Rd |  |  |  |  |
| AM | N |  |  | 0 | SOIITHROIJND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | FASTROIIND |  | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { WFSTROIND } \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | NT | NR |  | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR |  | WU |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 238 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 354 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 166 | 25 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 340 |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 142 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 308 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 217 | 26 | 0 | 42 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 379 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 193 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 351 |
| 8:15 AM | 2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 198 | 29 | 0 | 26 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 361 |
| 8:30 AM | 12 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 160 | 36 | 0 | 26 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 352 |
| 8:45 AM | 9 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 165 | 21 | 0 | 16 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| 9:00 AM | 3 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 190 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 305 |
| 9:15 AM | 4 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 136 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 257 |
| 9:30 AM | 5 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 101 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
| 9:45 AM | 7 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
|  | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 49 | 49 | 144 | 0 | 5 | 137 | 30 | 0 | 123 | 1987 | 218 | 0 | 259 | 704 | 7 | 0 | 3712 |
| APPROACH \%'s : | 20.25\% | 20.25\% | 59.50\% | 0.00\% | 2.91\% | 79.65\% | 17.44\% | 0.00\% | 5.28\% | 85.35\% | 9.36\% | 0.00\% | 26.70\% | 72.58\% | 0.72\% | 0.00\% |  |
| PEAK HR : |  | 7:45 AM - | 8:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 19 | 21 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 40 | 768 | 110 | 0 | 120 | 240 | 3 | 0 | 1443 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.396 | 0.477 | 0.576 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.906 | 0.688 | 0.000 | 0.714 | 0.885 | 0.764 | 0.000 | 0.714 | 0.923 | 0.375 | 0.000 |  |
|  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.952 |


| PM | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{NL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n' } \\ & 1 \\ & \text { NT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "in } \\ 0 \\ \text { NR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{NU} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{SL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 1 \\ \text { ST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 0 \\ \text { SR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { SU } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { EL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{1}^{n} \\ & \text { ET } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} 0 \\ \text { ER } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ E U \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { WL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \text { WT } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { WU } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:00 PM | 9 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 98 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 300 |
| 3:15 PM | 6 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 292 |
| 3:30 PM | 3 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 341 |
| 3:45 PM | 6 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 155 | 1 | 0 | 298 |
| 4:00 PM | 5 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 347 |
| 4:15 PM | 8 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 1 | 0 | 360 |
| 4:30 PM | 7 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 330 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 14 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 5:00 PM | 9 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 399 |
| 5:15 PM | 11 | 12 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 95 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 252 | 2 | 0 | 443 |
| 5:45 PM | 12 | 22 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 418 |
|  | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 81 | 152 | 278 | 0 | 4 | 43 | 52 | 0 | 29 | 1063 | 53 | 0 | 219 | 2350 | 6 | 0 | 4330 |
| APPROACH \%'s : | 15.85\% | 29.75\% | 54.40\% | 0.00\% | 4.04\% | 43.43\% | 52.53\% | 0.00\% | 2.53\% | 92.84\% | 4.63\% | 0.00\% | 8.50\% | 91.26\% | 0.23\% | 0.00\% |  |
| PEAK HR : | 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 0.469 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 0.786 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0.450 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 0.876 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 0.583 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \\ & 0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 976 \\ & 0.968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 35 | 66 | 112 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1710 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.729 | 0.750 | 0.683 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.710 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |

# $\frac{\text { APPENDIX C }}{\text { ING CONDITIONS }}$ 

Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road - AM - Existing

| HCM 2000 SIGNING SETTINGS | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { EBL } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{EBT}}{\rightarrow}$ |  | WBL | WBT |  | NBL |  | $\underset{\text { NBR }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { SBL }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { SBT }}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes and Sharing (\#RL) | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 768 | 110 | 120 | 240 | 3 | 19 | 21 | 53 | 0 | 58 | 11 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 768 | 110 | 120 | 240 | 3 | 19 | 21 | 53 | 0 | 58 | 11 |
| Sign Control | - | Free | - | - | Free | - | - | Stop | - | - | Stop | - |
| Median Width (it) | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| TWLTL Median | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - |
| Right Turn Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Critical Gap, IC (s) | 4.1 | - | - | 4.1 | - | - | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.4 | - | 6.6 | 6.3 |
| Follow Up Time, F ( $s$ ] | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | - | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| Volume to Capacity Ratio | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | - | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 371.3 | 371.3 | 371.3 | - | 106.9 | 106.9 |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A | A | F | F | F | - | F | F |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 269 | 269 | 269 | - | 103 | 103 |
| Approach Delay (s) | - | 0.9 | - | - | 5.2 | - | - | 371.3 | - | - | 106.9 | - |

Mendham Road (CR 510) \& Roxiticus Road - PM - Existing

| HCM 2000 SIGNING SETTINGS | EBL | $\rightarrow$ | EBR |  | * | $4$ <br> WBR | $\frac{4}{N B L}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { SBR }}{\downarrow}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes and Sharing (\#RL) |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 9 | 347 | 21 | 103 | 976 | 2 | 35 | 66 | 112 | 2 | 15 | 22 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 9 | 347 | 21 | 103 | 976 | 2 | 35 | 66 | 112 | 2 | 15 | 22 |
| Sign Control | - | Free | - | - | Free | - | - | Stop | - | - | Stop | - |
| Median Width (ft) | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| TWLTL Median | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | - |
| Right Turn Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Critical Gap, tC [s] | 4.1 | - | - | 4.1 | - | - | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Follow Up Time, tF [s] | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Volume to Capacity Ratio | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Control Delay [s] | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 576.4 | 576.4 | 576.4 | 81.6 | 81.6 | 81.6 |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A | A | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 572 | 572 | 572 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Approach Delay [s] | - | 0.4 | - | - | 2.8 | - | - | 576.4 | - | - | 81.6 | - |

## APPENDIXD <br> SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSES

Warrants Summary Report
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

Intersection Information

|  | Major Street | Minor Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) | Roxiticus Road |
| Direction | EB/WB | NB/SB |
| Number of Lanes 1 | 1 |  |
| Approch Speed | 40 | 35 |


| Warrant | Met? | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
|  | No |  |
| Condition A or B Met | No | 6 Hours met (8 required) |
| Condition A and B Mt | No | 5 Hours met (8 required) |
| Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume |  |  |
|  | Yes | 6 Hours met (4 required) |
| Warrant 3, Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | Yes |  |
| Condition A Met? | No | 0 Hours met (1 required) |
| Condition B Met? | Yes | 5 Hours met (1 required) |
| Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume |  |  |
|  | No |  |
| Condition A Met? | No | 0 Hours met (4 required) |
| Condition B Met? | No | 0 Hours met (1 required) |
| Warrant 5, School Crossing |  |  |
|  | No |  |

Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System


Warrant 1: Eight-hour Vehicular Volume
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

| Major Street Name: | Mendham Road (Route 24) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Major Street Direction: | EB/WB |
| Minor Street Direction: | NB/SB |

WARRANT 1 MET?

## Details:

| Condition A Met? | No | 6 Hours met (8 required) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Condition B Met? | No | 5 Hours met (8 required) |


| Hour | Major Street Vehicles (Total of Both Approaches) |  | High Volume Minor Approach Vehicles |  | 70\% Standard Met? Cond. A OR Cond. B |  | 56\% Standard Met? Cond. A AND Cond. B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Condition A 70\% Column | Condition B 70\% Column | Condition A 56\% Column | Condition B 56\% Column |
| 07:00 to 08:00 | 1,259 |  | 64 |  | No | Yes* | No | Yes |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ <br> column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? <br> Volume $>=56 \%$ <br> column (42)? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% <br> column (420)? | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 to 08:15 <br> Condition A | 1,246 |  | 70 |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
|  | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (53)? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 to 08:30 | 1,258 |  | 75 |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Condition A | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ <br> column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (53)? <br> Volume $>=56 \%$ <br> column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 to 08:45Condition A | 1,281 |  | 93 |  | No | Yes | Yes* | Yes* |
|  | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column ( } 525 \text { )? } \end{aligned}$ | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >=56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 08:00 to 09:00 | 1,210 |  | 106 |  | Yes* | Yes* | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume }>=70 \% \\ & \text { column (350)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >=56\% } \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume > $>=70 \%$ column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 08:15 to 09:15 | 1,179 |  | 90 |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column (350)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? <br> Volume >=56\% <br> column (420)? | Yes Yes | Volume > $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (53)? <br> Volume $>=56 \%$ <br> column (42)? | Yes Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 08:30 to 09:30 | 1,075 |  | 99 |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ <br> column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 08:45 to 09:45 | 963 |  | 85 |  | No | Yes | Yes* | Yes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? column (525)? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column (53)? } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 09:00 to 10:00 | 829 |  | 78 |  | No | Yes* | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= 70\% column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (420)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ <br> column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 09:15 to 10:15 | 553 |  | 64 |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (280)? column (280)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >=56\% } \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? column (525)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column (53)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (46)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 09:30 to 10:30 | 326 |  | 42 |  | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (350)? | No | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? <br> Volume $>=56 \%$ <br> column (420)? | No | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (53)? <br> Volume >= 56\% <br> column (42)? | No Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 09:45 to 10:45 | 140 |  | 22 |  | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | No | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | No | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | No | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (42)? | No |  |  |  |  |


| 15:00 to 16:00 | 1,060 |  | 139 |  | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume >=56\% } \\ \text { column (42)? } \end{gathered}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 15:15 to 16:15 | 1,111 |  | 132 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column (53)? } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 15:30 to 16:30 | 1,164 |  | 145 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= 70\% column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Volume > $=56 \%$ column ( 42 )? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 15:45 to 16:45 <br> Condition A | 1,154 |  | 153 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume }>=70 \% \\ & \text { column (525)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >=56\% column (280)? | Yes | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume > $=56 \%$ | Yes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume }>=56 \% \\ \text { column (42)? } \end{gathered}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 16:00 to 17:00 | 1,202 |  | 159 |  | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 16:15 to 17:15 | 1,249 |  | 165 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >=56\% } \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume }>=56 \% \\ & \text { column (42)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 16:30 to 17:30 <br> Condition A | 1,322 |  | 179 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ <br> column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 16:45 to 17:45 | 1,430 |  | 179 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume $>=56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? column (525)? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 70 \% \\ & \text { column (53)? } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 17:00 to 18:00 | 1,458 |  | 213 |  | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | $\text { Volume >= } 70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (280)? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 17:15 to 18:15 | 1,109 |  | 172 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (280)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume >= } 56 \% \\ & \text { column (420)? } \end{aligned}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (525)? | Yes | Volume $>=70 \%$ column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | Yes | Volume >=56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 17:30 to 18:30 Condition A | 727 |  | 113 |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | Yes | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= 56\% column (420)? | Yes | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |


| 17:45 to 18:45 | 332 |  | 75 |  | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (350)? | No | Volume >= $70 \%$ column (525)? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (280)? | Yes | Volume >= $56 \%$ column (420)? | No |  |  |  |  |
| Condition B | Volume >= 70\% column (525)? | No | Volume >= 70\% column (53)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume >=56\% column (420)? | No | Volume >= 56\% column (42)? | Yes |  |  |  |  |

Warrant 2: Four-hour Vehicular Volume
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)
Intersection Information

|  | Major Street | Minor Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) | Roxiticus Road |
| Direction | EB/WB | NB/SB |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approch Speed | 40 | 35 |
|  | Warrant 2 Met? | Yes |
| Notails: |  |  |
| Low population | Yes |  |

Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
Community Population Less Than $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ or Major Street Approach Speed Above 40 mph


Hourly Volumes

| Hour | Major Street Total All Approaches (vph) | Minor Street Highest Volume Approach (vph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:00:00-01:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 01:00:00-02:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:00:00-03:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 03:00:00-04:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 04:00:00-05:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 05:00:00-06:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 06:00:00-07:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 07:00:00-08:00:00 | 1,259.00 | 64.00 |
| 08:00:00-09:00:00 | 1,210.00 | 106.00 |
| 09:00:00-10:00:00 | 829.00 | 78.00 |
| 10:00:00-11:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 11:00:00-12:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12:00:00-13:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13:00:00-14:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14:00:00-15:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15:00:00-16:00:00 | 1,060.00 | 139.00 |
| 16:00:00-17:00:00 | 1,202.00 | 159.00 |
| 17:00:00-18:00:00 | 1,458.00 | 213.00 |
| 18:00:00-19:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 19:00:00-20:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20:00:00-21:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21:00:00-22:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22:00:00-23:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 23:00:00-00:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Warranted Volumes

| Hour | Major Street <br> Total All <br> Approaches (vph) | Minor Street <br> Highest Volume <br> Approach (vph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00:00-08:00:00 | $1,259.00$ | 64.00 |
| 08:00:00-09:00:00 | $1,210.00$ | 106.00 |
| 09:00:00-10:00:00 | 829.00 | 78.00 |
| 15:00:00-16:00:00 | $1,060.00$ | 139.00 |
| 16:00:00-17:00:00 | $1,202.00$ | 159.00 |
| $17: 00: 00-18: 00: 00$ | $1,458.00$ | 213.00 |

Warrant 3: Peak Hour
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)
Intersection Information

|  | Major Street | Minor Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) | Roxiticus Road |
| Direction | EB/WB | NB/SB |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approch Speed | 40 | 35 |

Warrant 3 Met? Yes

Details

| Low Population? | Yes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Condition A Met | No | Condition B Met | Yes |
| Notes | 0 Hours met (1 required) | Notes | 5 Hours met (1 required) |
| Minor Approach Time Delay Condition Met? | Not Met |  |  |
| Minor Approach Volume Condition Met? | Met |  |  |
| Total Entering Intersection Volume Condition Met? | Not Met |  |  |



| Hour | Major Street <br> Total All <br> Approaches (vph) | Minor Street <br> Highest Volume <br> Approach (vph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7: 00$ | 1,259 | 64 |
| $7: 30$ | 1,258 | 75 |
| $8: 30$ | 1,075 | 99 |
| $9: 30$ | 326 | 42 |
| $15: 00$ | 1,060 | 139 |
| $17: 00$ | 1,202 | 159 |

Warrant 4: Pedestrian Volume
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

|  | Major Street | Minor Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) | Roxiticus Road |
| Direction | EB/WB | NB/SB |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approch Speed | 40 | 35 |

## WARRANT 4 MET ? No

Details

| Pedestrian Four Hour Volume Warrant Met? | No |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pedestrian Peak Hour Warrant Met? | No | Notes | 0 Hours met (4 required)




Warrant 5: School Crossing
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendh

Intersection Information
Major Street Name Mendham Road (Route 24)
Major Street Direction EB/WB

WARRANT 5 MET? No

## Details:

| Time Period Interval for Students Crossing (min) | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Students Crossing in Time Period | 0 |
| Number of Adequate Gaps in Time Period | 0 |
| Other Remedial Measures Attempted? | No |
|  |  |
| Adjacent Signal on EB approach? | No |
| Distance to signal on EB Approach (ft) | - |
|  |  |
| Adjacent Signal on WB approach? | No |
| Distance to signal on WB Approach (ft) | - |
| Will New Signal Restrict Progressive Traffic? | Yes |

Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

Major Street Name Mendham Road (Route 24)

Major Street Direction EB/WB

WARRANT 6 MET? No

## Details:

| Approach Direction \& Name | Acceptable <br> Platooning? | Adjacent <br> Coordinating <br> Signal? | Adjacent <br> Intersection <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SB Approach (Roxiticus Road) | Yes | No | N/A |
| NB Approach (Roxiticus Road) | Yes | No | N/A |
| WB Approach (Mendham Road (Route 24)) | Yes | No | N/A |
| EB Approach (Mendham Road (Route 24)) |  |  |  |


| Unacceptable Platooning? <br> (At least one approach) | Distance to Closest Signal <br> (Must be N/A or > 1000) |
| :---: | :---: |
| No | N/A |

Warrant 7: Crash Experience
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

| Major Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Major Street Direction | EB/WB |
| Minor Street Direction | NB/SB |

WARRANT 7 MET? No

Details:

| Low Population? | Yes | Traffic Volume Condition Met? | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Speed Limit | 40 |  | 6 Hours Met (8 Required) |
| Major Street 85th-\% tile Speed | 0.00 | Ped Volume Condition Met? | No |
|  |  |  | 0 Hours Met (8 Required) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Qualifying Crashes | 6 |  |
|  | Adequate Alternative Trials? | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Hour | Traffic Volumes |  |  |  | Pedestrian Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Street Vehicles | Minor Street Vehicles | 80\% Standard Met? <br> A or B |  | Northbound Ped Volumes |  | Southbound Ped Volumes |  |
|  |  |  | Condition A | Condition B | Peds | > 80? | Peds | > 80? |
| 07:00 to 08:00 | 1,259 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 07:15 to 08:15 | 1,246 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 07:30 to 08:30 | 1,258 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 07:45 to 08:45 | 1,281 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 08:00 to 09:00 | 1,210 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 08:15 to 09:15 | 1,179 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 08:30 to 09:30 | 1,075 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |


| 08:45 to 09:45 | 963 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:00 to 10:00 | 829 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 09:15 to 10:15 | 553 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 09:30 to 10:30 | 326 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 09:45 to 10:45 | 140 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 15:00 to 16:00 | 1,060 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 15:15 to 16:15 | 1,111 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 15:30 to 16:30 | 1,164 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 15:45 to 16:45 | 1,154 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 16:00 to 17:00 | 1,202 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 16:15 to 17:15 | 1,249 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 16:30 to 17:30 | 1,322 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 16:45 to 17:45 | 1,430 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 17:00 to 18:00 | 1,458 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 17:15 to 18:15 | 1,109 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 17:30 to 18:30 | 727 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |
| 17:45 to 18:45 | 332 | 0 | No | No | 0 | No | 0 | No |

Warrant 8: Roadway Network
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

Major Street Name Mendham Road (Route 24)
Major Street Direction EB/WB
Minor Street Direction NB/SB

WARRANT 8 MET? ( A or B) Yes

Details:

|  |  | Growth Rates \% (per year) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NB | SB | EB | WB | L | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


| Condition A, Total Entering Volume |  | Condition B, Non-normal Business Day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing | Future |
| Existing Peak Hour | 1,710 | Highest Hour | 0 | 0 |
| Years | 20.00 | Second Highest Hour | 0 | 0 |
| Future Peak Hour | 1,780 | Third Highest Hour | 0 | 0 |
| Warrant 1 in 5 Years? | No | Fourth Highest Hour | 0 | 0 |
| Warrant 2 in 5 Years? | Yes | Fifth Highest Hour | 0 | 0 |
| Warrant 3 in 5 Years? | Yes | Yearly Growth Rate (\%) | 0.20 |  |
|  |  | Years | 20.00 |  |

Condition A Met? Yes Condition B Met? No

Warrant 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing
2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

| Major Street | Minor Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street Name | Mendham Road (Route 24) | Roxiticus Road |
| Direction | EB/WB | NB/SB |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approch Speed | 40 | 35 |

## WARRANT 9 MET ? <br> No

## Details

## Note No approach with a railroad grade crossing

Minor street approach having a grade crossing

Distance from the center of the track to the stop or yield line
Number of occurences of rail traffic per day
Percentage of high-occupancy buses crossing the track (\%)
Percentage of tractor-trailer trucks crossing the track (\%)

Interpolated
Adjustment Factor
Adjustment Factor
Adjustment Factor

The rail traffic arrival times are uknown, the highest traffic volume hour of the day is used


| Hour | Major Street <br> Total of Both Approaches (vph) | Adjusted Volume Crossing Tracks (vph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

## All-Way Stop Control Warrant: Multiway Stop Applications

2: Roxiticus Road \& Mendham Road (Route 24)

## Intersection Information

Major Street Name: Mendham Road (Route 24)
Major Street Direction: EB/WB
Minor Street Direction: NB/SB
AWSC WARRANT MET?

## Yes

## Details:

| Condition A Met? | Yes | Qualifying Crashes | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Condition B Met? | Yes | Major Street 85th \%-tile Speed | 0.00 |
| Condition C Met? | No | Major Street Speed Limit | 40 |
| Notes: | 1 Hours Met (8 Required) |  |  |


| Hour | Traffic Volumes |  | Bicycle Volumes |  | Ped Volumes |  | Condition C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Street | Minor Street | East Bound Bicycle Volumes | North Bound Bicycle Volumes | East <br> Bound Ped Volumes | North Bound Ped Volumes | Major Street <br> Veh Volume > <br> 300 | Minor Street |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg (Veh + Ped } \\ & + \text { Bicycle })> \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & >30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:30 to 17:30 | 1,322 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | False | No | No |

## APPENDIX E INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PLANS



