Means of Transportation to Work by Municipality, 2019 - 2023 (Five-Year Estimates)

Municipality	Workers 16 years and over	Car,truck, or van - drove alone		Car,truck, or van - carpooled		Public Transportation		Walked		Other means		Worked at home		Mean travel time to work (Minutes)
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Boonton	4,632	3,647	78.7%	169	3.6%	27	0.6%	61	1.3%	58	1.3%	670	14.5%	24.5
Boonton Twp.	2,039	1,234	60.5%	163	8.0%	42	2.1%	9	0.4%	41	2.0%	550	27.0%	25.7
Butler	4,621	3,333	72.1%	227	4.9%	262	5.7%	12	0.3%	36	0.8%	751	16.3%	34.5
Chatham Boro.	4,422	2,006	45.4%	136	3.1%	765	17.3%	45	1.0%	29	0.7%	1,441	32.6%	38.6
Chatham Twp.	4,694	2,807	59.8%	161	3.4%	463	9.9%	26	0.6%	15	0.3%	1,222	26.0%	32.0
Chester Boro.	714	502	70.3%	3	0.4%	16	2.2%	30	4.2%	2	0.3%	161	22.5%	29.9
Chester Twp.	3,482	2,293	65.9%	162	4.7%	11	0.3%	57	1.6%	22	0.6%	937	26.9%	36.8
Denville	8,562	5,634	65.8%	458	5.3%	239	2.8%	84	1.0%	58	0.7%	2,089	24.4%	30.7
Dover	10,416	6,777	65.1%	1,279	12.3%	280	2.7%	369	3.5%	1,139	10.9%	572	5.5%	26.9
East Hanover	5,659	4,489	79.3%	185	3.3%	184	3.3%	1	0.0%	11	0.2%	789	13.9%	24.8
Florham Park	6,477	3,723	57.5%	332	5.1%	346	5.3%	292	4.5%	77	1.2%	1,707	26.4%	26.1
Hanover	7,618	5,122	67.2%	623	8.2%	160	2.1%	3	0.0%	29	0.4%	1,681	22.1%	24.7
Harding	1,519	1,054	69.4%	26	1.7%	185	12.2%	0	0.0%	17	1.1%	237	15.6%	34.3
Jefferson	10,883	8,449	77.6%	824	7.6%	293	2.7%	72	0.7%	12	0.1%	1,233	11.3%	35.6
Kinnelon	4,971	3,401	68.4%	350	7.0%	247	5.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	970	19.5%	36.1
Lincoln Park	5,755	4,306	74.8%	267	4.6%	292	5.1%	112	1.9%	49	0.9%	729	12.7%	29.4
Long Hill	4,424	2,917	65.9%	205	4.6%	148	3.3%	110	2.5%	26	0.6%	1,018	23.0%	25.8
Madison	8,246	4,365	52.9%	281	3.4%	664	8.1%	677	8.2%	180	2.2%	2,079	25.2%	26.9
Mendham Boro.	2,488	1,491	59.9%	47	1.9%	90	3.6%	45	1.8%	48	1.9%	767	30.8%	33.1
Mendham Twp.	2,913	1,736	59.6%	39	1.3%	152	5.2%	25	0.9%	244	8.4%	717	24.6%	42.4
Mine Hill	2,227	1,669	74.9%	135	6.1%	38	1.7%	34	1.5%	17	0.8%	334	15.0%	28.9
Montville	11,552	7,777	67.3%	401	3.5%	489	4.2%	91	0.8%	100	0.9%	2,694	23.3%	32.2
Morris Twp.	11,794	7,460	63.3%	607	5.1%	419	3.6%	156	1.3%	190	1.6%	2,962	25.1%	28.1
Morris Plains	3,634	2,308	63.5%	131	3.6%	151	4.2%	42	1.2%	18	0.5%	984	27.1%	27.8
Morristown	12,013	7,589	63.2%	1,053	8.8%	370	3.1%	808	6.7%	164	1.4%	2,029	16.9%	24.4
Mountain Lakes	2,039	1,201	58.9%	71	3.5%	126	6.2%	4	0.2%	12	0.6%	625	30.7%	34.9
Mount Arlington	3,132	2,365	75.5%	224	7.2%	55	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	488	15.6%	37.2
Mount Olive	16,514	12,325	74.6%	1,144	6.9%	164	1.0%	104	0.6%	45	0.3%	2,732	16.5%	33.0
Netcong	1,915	1,375	71.8%	146	7.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	14	0.7%	379	19.8%	29.6
Parsippany	30,429	20,854	68.5%	2,057	6.8%	1,084	3.6%	409	1.3%	365	1.2%	5,660	18.6%	26.9
Pequannock	7,242	5,326	73.5%	362	5.0%	255	3.5%	184	2.5%	52	0.7%	1,063	14.7%	27.4
Randolph	13,336	9,244	69.3%	523	3.9%	334	2.5%	75	0.6%	127	1.0%	3,033	22.7%	31.8
Riverdale	2,256	1,840	81.6%	58	2.6%	50	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	308	13.7%	29.8
Rockaway Boro.	3,669	2,729	74.4%	235	6.4%	40	1.1%	86	2.3%	0	0.0%	579	15.8%	26.0
Rockaway Twp.	13,345	9,074	68.0%	568	4.3%	259	1.9%	191	1.4%	0	0.0%	3,253	24.4%	30.0
Roxbury	12,085	8,884	73.5%	721	6.0%	403	3.3%	53	0.4%	158	1.3%	1,866	15.4%	34.3
Victory Gardens	970	650	67.0%	156	16.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	111	11.4%	53	5.5%	25.2
Washington	8,822	5,975	67.7%	289	3.3%	95	1.1%	95	1.1%	81	0.9%	2,287	25.9%	36.3
Wharton	3,909	2,908	74.4%	501	12.8%	63	1.6%	62	1.6%	60	1.5%	315	8.1%	30.6
Morris County	**265,418**	**180,839**	**68.1%**	**15,319**	**5.8%**	**9,261**	**3.5%**	**4,428**	**1.7%**	**3,607**	**1.4%**	**51,964**	**19.6%**	**30.1**
New Jersey	**4,541,915**	**2,894,952**	**63.7%**	**347,524**	**7.7%**	**387,422**	**8.5%**	**118,022**	**2.6%**	**110,740**	**2.4%**	**683,255**	**15.0%**	**30.9**

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2019 - 2023 American Community Survey
Table: DP03

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error, which may be relatively large for smaller geographies and population sub-groups. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error.

For information on ACS methodology, see: http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology.html
For information on Accuracy of Data, see: http://census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/code-lists.html

Table Revised: January 2025